

Master Timeline of Civil Rights, ADA, and Related Proceedings

(Comprehensive Exhibit Edition – July to December 2024)

Prepared and submitted by Jeremy Black, Pro Se Plaintiff – Case No. 2:25-cv-00002-CEA-JEM

July 6 2024 – Initial Incident and Arrest

- Law-enforcement response at residence; body-camera and dash-camera footage reportedly created.
- One witness statement documented.
- Plaintiff alleges injury and lack of medical evaluation.

Statutes / Precedents potentially relevant:

U.S. Const. amend. IV (search & seizure); amend. V (due process); amend. XIV (equal protection);

Brady v. Maryland, 373 U.S. 83 (1963); *Tennessee v. Ferguson*, 2 S.W.3d 912 (Tenn. 1999).

Tenn. Code Ann. § 38-1-401 (receipt of complaints); § 39-16-403 (official oppression).

July 8 2024 – Family Message Exchange

- Text message exchange between Plaintiff and minor daughter later cited in court filings.
- Communication became basis for later order-of-protection (OP) violation allegation.

Statutes / Precedents potentially relevant:

U.S. Const. amend. I (free expression); *Troxel v. Granville*, 530 U.S. 57 (2000) (parental rights).

Week of July 2024 – Letter and GPS Tracking Issue

- ADA correspondence alleging missed court date; tracking data shared by private party.
- Arrest ensued; release occurred once clerical error discovered.

Statutes / Precedents potentially relevant:

U.S. Const. amend. IV; *Beck v. Ohio*, 379 U.S. 89 (1964); Tenn. Code Ann. § 39-13-606 (electronic tracking limitations).

August 4 2024 – Second Arrest and Evidence Dispute

- Additional arrest involving multiple deputies; medical treatment required afterward.
- Requests for body-camera and incident reports submitted later under TPRA.

Statutes / Precedents potentially relevant:

U.S. Const. amend. IV, V, XIV; *Giglio v. United States*, 405 U.S. 150 (1972); Tenn. Code Ann. § 10-7-503 (public records access).

Letter and GPS Tracking Issue

- ADA correspondence alleging missed court date; tracking data shared by private party.
- Arrest ensued; release occurred once clerical error was discovered. 33 hours in jail for absolutely no reason.
- Warrant was issued Judge Strange July 22, 2024 and canceled the next day according to Federal Filing by Attorney Jeffrey Ward.
- The warrant sent to me from Sheriff Ball does NOT match the original my attorney has.

Statutes / Precedents potentially relevant:

U.S. Const. amend. IV; *Beck v. Ohio*, 379 U.S. 89 (1964); Tenn. Code Ann. § 39-13-606 (electronic tracking limitations).

August 6 2024 – Records Correspondence

- CCSO staff email indicated partial production of records; certain items unavailable.
- Plaintiff contested completeness of disclosure.

Statutes / Precedents potentially relevant:

Tenn. Code Ann. § 10-7-503 et seq.; 42 U.S.C. § 1983 (access to information under color of law).

August 19 2024 – Court Proceedings

- Hearing conducted; body-cam and medical-care issues raised.
- No formal resolution recorded at that time.

Statutes / Precedents potentially relevant:

U.S. Const. amend. VI (speedy trial and confrontation rights); *Boykin v. Alabama*, 395 U.S. 238 (1969).

September 5 2024 – Order-of-Protection Violation Arrest

- Arrest linked to prior family-message exchange; GPS location data allegedly used.
- Case later dismissed.

Statutes / Precedents potentially relevant:

U.S. Const. amend. IV (warrant requirement); *Tenn. v. Ferguson*, 2 S.W.3d 912 (Tenn. 1999).

September 8 – 9 2024 – Reported Threat and Non-Response

- Plaintiff reported threat from third party; no enforcement action recorded.

Statutes / Precedents potentially relevant:

Tenn. Code Ann. § 38-1-401 (duty to receive complaints); 42 U.S.C. § 1983 (equal protection enforcement).

September 16 2024 – Social-Media Impersonation Incident

- Message received via social media appearing to be from family member; later determined deceptive.

Statutes / Precedents potentially relevant:

Tenn. Code Ann. § 39-14-104 (fraudulent use of electronic communications).

September 26 2024 – Federal Contact

- Plaintiff reported concerns to FBI Special Agent; confirmation of file creation.

Statutes / Precedents potentially relevant:

18 U.S.C. § 1519 (record concealment); 42 U.S.C. § 1985 (conspiracy to interfere with civil rights).

October 4 & 7 2024 – Stalking Reports

- Plaintiff documented repeated social-media monitoring by involved parties.

Statutes / Precedents potentially relevant:

Tenn. Code Ann. § 39-17-315 (stalking); U.S. Const. amend. XIV (equal protection).

October 21 – 25 2024 – Detective Follow-Up

- Plaintiff attempted to supplement evidence; investigator unavailable.

Statutes / Precedents potentially relevant:

Tenn. Code Ann. § 38-1-401; 42 U.S.C. § 1983 (duty to investigate).

October 28 2024 – Post-Court Communication

- After a hearing, Plaintiff received direct text from opposing party.

Statutes / Precedents potentially relevant:

Tenn. Code Ann. § 39-17-308 (harassment).

November 1 2024 – Warrant Request Refusal

- Assistant Sheriff declined to sign Plaintiff's submitted warrant complaint; additional evidence offered.

Statutes / Precedents potentially relevant:

Tenn. Code Ann. § 38-1-401; § 39-16-403 (official oppression).

November 5 – 15 2024 – Public Records Requests and Formal Complaints

- TPRA and internal complaints filed for July 6 and Aug 4 records.

Statutes / Precedents potentially relevant:

Tenn. Code Ann. § 10-7-503; 42 U.S.C. § 1983; *Brady v. Maryland*, 373 U.S. 83 (1963).

November 25 – 28 2024 – Records Process Issues

- Agency requested additional paperwork and physical media; re-submission made.

Statutes / Precedents potentially relevant:

Tenn. Code Ann. § 10-7-503; 18 U.S.C. § 1519 (obstruction by record withholding).

December 16 – 20 2024 – Partial Production and Denial

- Agency stated all materials produced; key recordings absent.
- Escalation letters sent to mayor and external agencies.

Statutes / Precedents potentially relevant:

Brady v. Maryland, 373 U.S. 83 (1963); *Giglio v. United States*, 405 U.S. 150 (1972); 42 U.S.C. § 1983.

Master Timeline of Civil Rights, ADA, and Related Proceedings

(Comprehensive Exhibit Edition – January to June 2025)

Prepared and submitted by Jeremy Black, Pro Se Plaintiff – Case No. 2:25-cv-00002-CEA-JEM

January 22 2025 – Bond Hearing and Electronic Monitoring Order

- Circuit-Court hearing before Judge Mark Strange.
- ADA Nik Kear requested electronic ankle monitoring after prior courtroom discussions about evidence.
- Condition imposed the same day.

Statutes / Precedents potentially relevant:

42 U.S.C. § 12203 (ADA retaliation); U.S. Const. amends. V & XIV (due process); *Barnes v. Gorman*, 536 U.S. 181 (2002); *Tenn. Code Ann.* § 40-11-152 (bail conditions).

March 10 2025 – Arraignment and Prosecutorial Statements

- Court appearance; ADA clarified awareness of earlier Perjured testimony.
- Discussion recorded in open court.

Statutes / Precedents potentially relevant:

U.S. Const. amend. VI (right to public trial and counsel); *Boykin v. Alabama*, 395 U.S. 238 (1969); *Tenn. R. Crim. P.* 10.

March 18 2025 – CPS Disclosure and Counselor Report

- School counselor reported Teshia Black possible emotional and physical abuse involving a minor child.
- Case transferred to private counselor soon afterward.

Statutes / Precedents potentially relevant:

Tenn. Code Ann. § 37-1-403 (mandatory reporting of child abuse); *Santosky v. Kramer*, 455 U.S. 745 (1982); *Troxel v. Granville*, 530 U.S. 57 (2000).

April 22 2025 – Clarification Hearing

- ADA addressed prior remarks made during arraignment about knowing about Perjury and Felonies.
- Hearing limited to clarifying statements for the record.

Statutes / Precedents potentially relevant:

U.S. Const. amends. V & XIV (due process); *Napue v. Illinois*, 360 U.S. 264 (1959) (false testimony duty to correct).

May 12 – June 17 2025 – Certified-Mail Service Attempts

- Certified mail sent to district-attorney offices and county officials; multiple acceptances and refusals documented.
- DA Dunn and ADA Kear also avoided 4 process server in person attempts and several phone calls to again try and avoid accountability.
- AAG Parrish filed for improper service to avoid accountability in federal court case.

Statutes / Precedents potentially relevant:

Fed. R. Civ. P. 4 (service of process); 18 U.S.C. § 1519 (obstruction by concealment or refusal of records).

June 12 2025 – Federal Response in Opposition Filed

- Plaintiff filed Response in Opposition to Defendants' Amended Motion to Dismiss in federal case.
- Included references to exhibits and Rule 201 request for judicial notice.

Statutes / Precedents potentially relevant:

Fed. R. Evid. 201 (judicial notice); Fed. R. Civ. P. 12(b)(6); 42 U.S.C. § 1983 (civil rights enforcement).

June 22 2025 – Identification of Triadic Pattern (“Power of 3”)

- Analysis documented recurring three-member alignments among civilian, law-enforcement, prosecutorial, and judicial actors.
- Framework added to federal pleadings as evidence of systemic bias.

Statutes / Precedents potentially relevant:

42 U.S.C. § 1985 (conspiracy to interfere with civil rights); 18 U.S.C. § 241 (conspiracy against rights); *Dennis v. Sparks*, 449 U.S. 24 (1980).

Master Timeline of Civil Rights, ADA, and Related Proceedings

(Comprehensive Exhibit Edition – July to October 2025 & Analytical Sections)

Prepared and submitted by Jeremy Black, Pro Se Plaintiff – Case No. 2:25-cv-00002-CEA-JEM

July 2025 – Federal Case Developments and Service Status

- Defendants’ Amended Motion to Dismiss under Rule 12(b)(6) addressed; Plaintiff filed formal Response with supporting exhibits.
- Court acknowledged receipt of mailings and refusals from certain agencies.

Statutes / Precedents potentially relevant:

Fed. R. Civ. P. 12(b)(6); Fed. R. Evid. 201; 42 U.S.C. §§ 1983 & 1985; 18 U.S.C. § 4 (Misprision of Felony); *Tenn. Code Ann.* § 39-16-403 (Official Oppression).

August 12 2025 – Circuit-Court Proceedings

- Hearing in Cocke County Circuit Court; District Attorney’s Office actively prosecuted case.
- No conflict of interest disclosed at that time.

Statutes / Precedents potentially relevant:

U.S. Const. amends. V & XIV (due process); *Brady v. Maryland*, 373 U.S. 83 (1963); *Giglio v. United States*, 405 U.S. 150 (1972).

August 14 2025 – Perjury Report and Declared Conflict of Interest

- CCSO forwarded Plaintiff’s perjury evidence to District Attorney’s Office.
- DA subsequently announced a “conflict of interest” and withdrew from handling related matters.

Statutes / Precedents potentially relevant:

U.S. Const. amends. V & XIV; 18 U.S.C. §§ 1621 (perjury) and 1519 (obstruction by record concealment); *Tenn. Code Ann.* § 39-16-503 (fabrication or tampering with evidence).

August 2025 – CPS and Child-Abuse Proceedings

- Court heard testimony on child-abuse allegations and protective-order issues.
- Statements by minors handled through private counselors after school counselor report.

Statutes / Precedents potentially relevant:

Tenn. Code Ann. § 37-1-403 (mandatory reporting); *Santosky v. Kramer*, 455 U.S. 745 (1982); *Troxel v. Granville*, 530 U.S. 57 (2000).

September 2025 – CPS Follow-Up and Federal Filing Additions

- CPS and court records supplemented with federal brief alleging selective enforcement and ADA retaliation.
- SSA/VA benefit redirection referenced in supporting materials.

Statutes / Precedents potentially relevant:

42 U.S.C. §§ 1983, 1985, 12203; 42 U.S.C. § 408 (SSA fraud); 38 U.S.C. § 6102 (VA benefit misuse); *Tenn. Code Ann.* § 39-16-403.

September 23 2025 – Federal Sworn Timelines Filed as Exhibits

- Multiple timeline and exhibit documents submitted in federal record highlighting perjury, child-abuse handling, SSA/VA issues, and ADA retaliation.

Statutes / Precedents potentially relevant:

Fed. R. Civ. P. 56 (summary evidence); 18 U.S.C. § 1519; 42 U.S.C. §§ 1983 & 12203.

October 2025 – Deputy Smith False-Narrative and Constructed Probable Cause Addendum

- Discovery of two police reports and warrant language that did not align with initial witness statements.
- Materials designated as “Exhibit F.”

Statutes / Precedents potentially relevant:

U.S. Const. amend. IV (search & seizure); 18 U.S.C. §§ 1621 (perjury), 242 (deprivation of rights), and 1519 (record alteration); *Tenn. Code Ann.* §§ 39-16-403 and 39-16-503.

Triadic Power Structure Summary (“Power of 3”)

Level	Individuals	Typical Function / Interaction Summary
Civilian Triad	Teshia Black • Tammy Webb • Diane Parker	Personal-relationship allegations and testimony coordination
Law-Enforcement Triad	Lt. Zach Magourik • Dep. Josh Smith • Dep. Austin Kress	Incident response and evidence handling
Prosecutorial Triad	ADA Nik Kear • DA Jimmy Dunn • Sheriff C.J. Ball	Charging and case-oversight decisions
Judicial Triad	Judges Mark Strange • James L. Moore • James L. Gass	Court supervision across Sessions and Circuit levels

(Analytical Note: This framework illustrates recurring three-person clusters in each branch of authority; research comparative case law on systemic bias or conspiracy patterns under 42 U.S.C. § 1985.)

Systemic Misconduct Pattern (Comparable Federal Cases)

1. Deborah Jenkins v. Cocke County, Tennessee (2023–2024)

- Civil-rights action over the death of Kristina Dukes allegedly from medical neglect while in custody.

- Claims: Eighth and Fourteenth Amendment violations and municipal liability under 42 U.S.C. § 1983.

2. Steven J. Laws v. Cocke County (2023)

- Former inmate alleged failure-to-protect and deliberate indifference after injury from assault by other inmates.
- Claims: 42 U.S.C. § 1983; Eighth Amendment conditions-of-confinement standards.

(Analytical Note: Both cases reflect similar constitutional issues of deliberate indifference and oversight failures within the same jurisdiction.)

Summary of Legal Framework and Continuing Harm

- Federal protections invoked: U.S. Const. amends. I, IV, V, VI, VIII, XIV; 42 U.S.C. §§ 1983, 1985, 12203; 18 U.S.C. §§ 4, 1519, 1621, 242.
- Tennessee statutes frequently referenced: *Tenn. Code Ann.* §§ 37-1-403, 38-1-401, 39-16-403, 39-16-503.
- Key precedents: *Brady, Giglio, Beck, Santosky, Troxel, Barnes, Boykin, Faretta, Dennis v. Sparks*.
- Alleged continuing impact areas: family separation, financial loss from SSA/VA redirection, and ongoing reputational and ADA-related harm.

Prepared and submitted by Jeremy Black, Pro Se Plaintiff – Case No. 2:25-cv-00002-CEA-JEM

The Board of Professional Responsibility complaint numbers of 2025-13181-COMP, 2025-13179-COMP, 2025-13178-COMP, and 2025-13177-COMP