

# CONTRADICTIONS SUMMARY

## I. STATE'S WITNESS — CONTRADICTIONS & INCONSISTENCIES

Statement	Alleged Contradiction
State's Witness stated Jeremy had "me and my son both pinned down on his bed."	Daniel allegedly denied being pushed down or pinned down and did not recall Jeremy taking the phone.
State's Witness stated the prior day was the "first time" Jeremy had hurt her.	Prior photographs, reports, and related statements allegedly reflect earlier incidents.
State's Witness stated Jeremy's psychiatrist said she and Daniel were "triggers" for his PTSD.	No supporting records identified in the materials provided.
State's Witness stated Jeremy quit PTSD counseling.	Later treatment records allegedly reflect continued counseling or treatment.
State's Witness stated Jeremy had shut off the phones in the past.	Phone records allegedly show the State's Witness controlled or removed communication devices.
State's Witness allegedly prevented a 911 call.	The records allegedly do not show a completed 911 call by the State's Witness, but do show calls to Diane and Tammy.
State's Witness stated she "just wanted to get help" for Jeremy's PTSD.	Later filings and conduct are alleged to be inconsistent with that explanation.
State's Witness told Diane Jeremy had held Daniel down before.	Bodycam and later statements allegedly show the Daniel allegation was introduced or altered later.
State's Witness stated Jeremy "quit counseling," that "the woman is really trying to help him," and he had been to counseling but "wasn't crazy."	No supporting records identified in the materials provided.
State's Witness stated Jeremy previously called and harassed Daniel while Jeremy was in the hospital.	Phone records allegedly do not show such calls during the relevant period.

<b>Statement</b>	<b>Alleged Contradiction</b>
State's Witness stated the children were scared.	Later reports allegedly describe distress tied to the divorce and litigation rather than fear of Jeremy.
State's Witness claimed ongoing abuse, fear, and repeated restraint.	This is alleged to conflict with the earlier statement that the incident was the "first time" Jeremy had hurt her.
State's Witness reported an order-of-protection violation based on Jeremy's response to a child's message.	Jeremy contends the communication was child-initiated and non-threatening.
State's Witness's report led to Jeremy's arrest for an order-of-protection violation based on a text reply.	Jeremy contends the response was escalated into criminal enforcement.
State's Witness reintroduced the allegation that Daniel was pinned down.	Jeremy contends that allegation had previously been omitted and later reappeared.

## **II. COURT TESTIMONY CONTRADICTIONS**

<b>Statement / Testimony</b>	<b>Alleged Contradiction</b>
State's Witness denied Deputy Smith instructed her to omit Daniel from the statement in court.	Jeremy contends this conflicts with the bodycam recording.
State's Witness testified she went to the hospital three days later because of pain and submitted black-and-white photographs of bruising.	Jeremy contends the bodycam did not show visible bruising or injuries consistent with that account.
State's Witness alleged choking only after being confronted with what was said on bodycam and claimed it was omitted because the statement only covered the "day of and the day before."	Jeremy contends this conflicts with earlier bodycam statements.
State's Witness requested expansion of restrictions, including ankle monitoring and additional no-contact individuals.	Jeremy contends this reflected escalation following disputed testimony.
State's Witness stated she had possession of the phone while allegedly being pinned down.	Jeremy contends the bodycam contradicts that account.

<b>Statement / Testimony</b>	<b>Alleged Contradiction</b>
State's Witness stated: "It was his blood. It could have been mine because my thumb was bleeding, but I scratched inside of his jaw and it was his blood."	Jeremy contends the bodycam shows a different account of the injury and scratch.
State's Witness testified she never told Diane or Tammy different versions of events before the incident.	Jeremy contends bodycam and other materials show Diane and Tammy knew details not included in reports or affidavits.
State's Witness testified she went upstairs with Daniel, sat briefly, and called Tammy saying Jeremy was upset again.	Jeremy contends this conflicts with Tammy's text and the overall timeline.
Child and DCS records reflected distress involving the children.	Jeremy contends the distress was tied to divorce and system involvement rather than independent fear of Jeremy.
State's Witness testified she remembered only one prior incident involving Gabriel.	Jeremy contends this conflicts with bodycam, order-of-protection materials, interrogatories, and other statements.

### **III. FINANCIAL & FAMILY-COURT CONTRADICTIONS**

<b>Statement</b>	<b>Alleged Contradiction</b>
State's Witness stated Jeremy's disability covered the budget at \$6,500.	Jeremy contends this conflicts with affidavits and financial records.
State's Witness stated the business shut down because it was in her name.	Jeremy contends this reflects financial control inconsistent with other evidence.
State's Witness stated there was no financial support for the children.	Jeremy contends SSA benefits and other payments existed.
State's Witness stated there was no money and told the children they needed food banks.	Jeremy contends bank records show withdrawals exceeding \$3,000.
State's Witness stated Jeremy left no money available for the children or expenses.	Jeremy contends withdrawal and benefit records contradict that claim.
State's Witness stated she discussed foreclosure with the children and blamed Jeremy.	Jeremy contends adoption stipends and dependent-benefit evidence undercut that claim.

<b>Statement</b>	<b>Alleged Contradiction</b>
State's Witness filed for contempt alleging house-payment issues caused by Jeremy.	Jeremy contends stipend and dependent-benefit evidence contradict the claim.
State's Witness stated the business made only \$150-175 per month.	Jeremy contends bank statements, tax returns, and third-party statements contradict that figure.
State's Witness and Ms. Jessee allegedly gave several excuses for not returning Jeremy's computer before later stating it was destroyed.	Jeremy contends the computer contained preserved relevant evidence.

## **IV. DEPUTY JOSH SMITH — CONTRADICTIONS**

<b>Statement / Testimony</b>	<b>Alleged Contradiction</b>
Smith stated he investigated the scene and determined the primary aggressor after speaking with all parties.	Jeremy contends Smith had not reviewed bodycam and excluded key exculpatory information.
Smith stated Daniel was not the victim and therefore not the focus of the investigation.	Jeremy contends this conflicts with bodycam and Daniel's statements denying the alleged assault narrative.
Smith stated the State's Witness never told him Jeremy choked her.	Jeremy contends this conflicts with bodycam portions discussing choking and pinning allegations.
Smith stated the State's Witness never told him Jeremy hit her.	Jeremy contends this conflicts with overall bodycam narrative and later testimony.
Smith stated the State's Witness never told him Jeremy held Daniel down.	Jeremy contends this conflicts with bodycam references involving Daniel.
Smith stated he had not watched the bodycam at the time of testimony.	Jeremy contends this supports the argument his report and testimony were incomplete.
Smith stated he included only what he "needed to put in" the report.	Jeremy contends this suggests potentially exculpatory information was omitted.

## **V. LT. ZACH MAGOURIK — CONTRADICTIONS**

<b>Statement / Testimony</b>	<b>Alleged Contradiction</b>
Magourik stated he did not investigate anything at the scene.	Jeremy contends this conflicts with bodycam and his command role.
Magourik stated he did not recall telling Deputy Smith to place the handcuffs in front.	Jeremy contends this conflicts with bodycam references and arrest sequence.
Magourik stated there was an active warrant but did not confirm it with the judge or clerk before arresting Jeremy.	Jeremy contends this conflicts with the later recall of the capias.
Magourik stated the computer showed a warrant even though it was allegedly not yet in the system.	Jeremy contends this conflicts with court records and later recall of the capias.
Magourik stated Jeremy was treated appropriately.	Jeremy contends this conflicts with warrant-error and handcuffing allegations.

## **VI. DEPUTY AUSTIN KRESS — CONTRADICTIONS**

<b>Statement / Testimony</b>	<b>Alleged Contradiction</b>
Kress stated he was only an observer.	Jeremy contends bodycam shows Kress participated in discussions and decision-making.
Kress stated he did not hear Deputy Smith tell Lt. Magourik everyone inside agreed with his story.	Jeremy contends this conflicts with the bodycam account.
Kress faintly recalled the State's Witness saying Jeremy held Daniel down.	Jeremy contends this conflicts with earlier bodycam references and the significance later attached to the allegation.

## **VII. TAMMY WEBB — CONTRADICTIONS**

Statement / Testimony	Alleged Contradiction
Tammy Webb stated she could hear the State's Witness screaming during a phone call.	Jeremy contends this conflicts with the bodycam timeline and sequence.
Tammy Webb stated the choking allegation came from her understanding Jeremy did it the day before.	Jeremy contends this was derivative rather than firsthand observation.
Tammy Webb gave testimony allegedly reflecting pre-arrival narrative formation.	Jeremy contends this conflicts with the timing and source of her knowledge.

## VIII. UPDATED CORE CONTRADICTION THEMES

### Daniel's Account vs. Adult Narrative

Daniel reportedly did not confirm:

- being pinned down,
- being assaulted,
- or Jeremy taking the phone, yet the allegation later expanded or reappeared.

### Choking-Allegation Drift

The choking allegation allegedly:

- appeared,
- disappeared,
- shifted,
- or was minimized through different stages of reporting and testimony.

### 911 Call Inconsistency

Disputes exist regarding:

- whether a 911 call was active,
- whether it was completed,
- and whether the phone was actually taken.

### Pre-Arrival Narrative Formation

Jeremy contends Tammy Webb and Diane Parker possessed information before arrival that they could not have personally observed.

### **Officer Knowledge & Reporting Gaps**

Jeremy contends:

- Deputy Smith admitted he had not reviewed bodycam,
- and admitted he only included what he “needed” in reports.

### **Command-Level Warrant Issues**

Jeremy contends:

- Lt. Magourik admitted he did not verify the warrant before arrest,
- despite later claiming certainty the warrant was active.

### **Financial & Custody Contradictions**

Jeremy contends:

- testimony regarding finances, housing, support, and child welfare conflicts with bank records, SSA records, and financial documentation.